



# CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

NUMBER 181887

Page 1 of 3 pages

FUNDACIÓN TEKNIKER  
LABORATORIO DE METROLOGÍA  
Calle Iñaki Goenaga, 5  
20600 EIBAR (GIPUZKOA) SPAIN



**ITEM:** DIAL GAUGE

**MARK:** TESA

**MODEL:** DIGICO 2

**IDENTIFICATION:** 7E 007 02 (T029-1)

**APPLICANT:** METTLER TOLEDO PAC RIM AG-TAIWAN BRANCH  
11506 TAIPEI 114 R.O.C.

**DATE/S OF CALIBRATION:** 10/05/2021

**Authorised Signatory/ies**  
Head of Laboratory

**Date of issue**

Eibar, May 10, 2021

*This certificate is issued in accordance with the conditions of accreditation granted by ENAC which has assessed the measurement capability of the laboratory and its traceability to national or international standards.*

*ENAC is one of the signatories of the Multilateral Agreement of the European Cooperation for Accreditation (EA) and the International Laboratories Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC).*

*This certificate may not be partially reproduced, except with the prior written permission of the issuing laboratory.*



## IDENTIFICATION OF THE CALIBRATION ELEMENT

**ITEM:** DIAL GAUGE  
**MARK:** TESA  
**MODEL:** DIGICO 2  
**CODE:** T029-1  
**IDENTIFICATION:** 7E 007 02  
**LENGTH OF TRAVEL:** 0÷60 mm  
**RESOLUTION:** 0,001 mm  
**OBSERVATIONS:**

## CONDITIONS AND METHODS OF CALIBRATION:

MEASURING INSTRUMENT USED	ENVIRONMETAL CONDITIONS	STANDARDS
- TESTING MACHINES FOR COMPARATORS, ref.109001503 - 7308-2 - THERMOMETER, ref. D13340305 - 7039-7	(20 ± 1)°C	
	<b>CALIBRATION PROCEDURE</b>	
	PC-MM.302	

## OBSERVATIONS:

The results obtained in this report are referred to the moment and conditions in which the measuring are made.

The expanded uncertainty has been obtained multiplying the typical uncertainty of measurement by the cover factor  $k=2$  that, for a normal distribution, corresponds approximately to a probability of cover of 95%. The typical uncertainty of measure has been determined according to document EA-4/02 M:2013.

The uncertainty of the correction has been considered from the following contributions: used standard, the repeatability of the measures, the equipment resolution and the magnitudes of influence (temperature when he comes).

The uncertainty of use has been considered from the following contributions: used standard, the repeatability of the measures, the equipment resolution, the instrument corrections and the magnitudes of influence (temperature when he comes).

One notices the user of the necessity to consider the magnitudes of influence significant, and to increase the global uncertainty consequently, when he uses the elements in conditions that differ from those of calibration.

The laboratory does not take responsibility of the inadequate use of the calibrated instruments.



## RESULTS

CALIBRATION POINTS (mm)	↑ CORRECTIONS		U <sub>CC</sub> (μm)	↓ CORRECTIONS		U <sub>CD</sub> (μm)
	(mm)	(μm)		(μm)	(μm)	
0	0	0,9	0,9	0	0,9	0,9
6	0	0,9	0,9	0	0,9	0,9
12	0	0,9	0,9	0	0,9	0,9
18	0	0,9	0,9	-1	0,9	0,9
24	0	0,9	0,9	-1	0,9	0,9
30	1	0,9	0,9	-1	0,9	0,9
36	1	0,9	0,9	-1	0,9	0,9
42	1	0,9	0,9	-1	0,9	0,9
48	1	0,9	0,9	-1	0,9	0,9
54	1	0,9	0,9	1	0,9	0,9
60	1	0,9	0,9	1	0,9	0,9

CALIBRATION POINTS (mm)	CORRECTIONS (μm)										S <sub>c</sub> (μm)	S <sub>CTOTAL</sub> (μm)
	↑	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
30	↑	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	↓	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**EQUIPMENT UNCERTAINTY:  $U = 2 \mu\text{m}$**   
**COVERAGE FACTOR  $k = 2$**

### NOTES:

$\bar{S}_c$  = Standard desviation

$S_{CTOTAL}$  = Total standard deviation in the point of the reiterations. (Considering the 20 measures)

$U_0$  = Uncertainty of the standard

$U_{CC}$  = Uncertainty of the ↑ correction

$U_{CD}$  = Uncertainty of the ↓ correction

$U$  = Uncertainty of use of the equipment (including correction)